

## Solution

17. a. Anthony's marginal rate of substitution can be determined from his marginal utility for each good:

$$\begin{aligned} MRS_{LG} &= \frac{MU_L}{MU_G} = \frac{0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5}}{0.5L^{0.5}G^{-0.5}} \\ &= \frac{G}{L} = \frac{2}{1} \\ G^* &= 2L^* \end{aligned}$$

Anthony's optimal bundle must also lie on his budget constraint,  $2L + G = 30$ . Substituting the relation from the tangency condition gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2L^* + 2L^* &= 30 \\ 4L^* &= 30 \\ L^* &= 7.5 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G^* = 15$$

The optimal consumption bundle is  $(L^*, G^*) = (7.5, 15)$ , and this will give Anthony utility  $U = (7.5)^{0.5}(15)^{0.5} = 10.6$ .

b. If there is a doubling in the price of guitar picks to  $P_G = \$2$ , then from the tangency condition:

$$\begin{aligned} MRS_{LG} &= \frac{P_L}{P_G} \\ \frac{G}{L} &= \frac{2}{2} \\ G^* &= L^* \end{aligned}$$

Anthony will want to consume the two goods in equal quantities. In order to maintain utility at  $U = 10.6$

$$\begin{aligned} U(L, G) &= L^{0.5}G^{0.5} \\ 10.6 &= L^{0.5}G^{0.5} \end{aligned}$$

with  $G^* = L^* = k$

$$\begin{aligned} 10.6 &= k^{0.5}k^{0.5} \\ 10.6 &= k \end{aligned}$$

Anthony will consume the bundle  $(L^*, G^*) = (10.6, 10.6)$ , which at the new price for guitar picks will cost

$$(2)(10.6) + (2)(10.6) = \$42.40$$

Anthony will require income  $I' = \$42.40$  in order to maintain the same level of utility.

c. Marginal utilities can be calculated as partial derivatives of the utility function. Since  $U(L, G) = L^{0.5}G^{0.5}$ , the marginal utility of fishing lures is  $MU_L = \frac{\partial U}{\partial L} = 0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5}$ , and the marginal utility of guitar picks is  $MU_G = \frac{\partial U}{\partial G} = 0.5L^{0.5}G^{-0.5}$ .

d. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}(L, G, \lambda) = L^{0.5}G^{0.5} + \lambda[30 - 2L - G]$$

The first-order conditions (partial derivatives of this equation with respect to  $L$ ,  $G$ , and the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$ , respectively) are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial L} &= 0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5} - \lambda(2) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial G} &= 0.5L^{0.5}G^{-0.5} - \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} &= 30 - 2L - G = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Solving the first two equations for  $\lambda$  and setting them equal to each other, we find

$$\lambda = \frac{0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5}}{2} = \frac{0.5L^{0.5}G^{-0.5}}{1}$$

Rearranging, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5} &= L^{0.5}G^{-0.5} \\ L &= 0.5G\end{aligned}$$

We can combine this with the third of the first-order conditions that tells us the budget constraint relationship:

$$\begin{aligned}30 &= 2(0.5G) + G \\ 2G &= 30 \\ G^* &= 15 \\ L^* &= 0.5(15) = 7.5\end{aligned}$$

Note that this is the same answer as that to part (a)!

e. (i) Anthony's constrained optimization problem is

$$\min_{L,G} 2L + 2G \text{ s.t. } 10.6 = L^{0.5}G^{0.5}$$

Note that 10.6 is the utility level corresponding to the optimal consumption bundle as solved for in part (a).

(ii) The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}(L,G,\lambda) = 2L + 2G + \lambda[10.6 - L^{0.5}G^{0.5}]$$

The first-order conditions are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial L} &= 2 - \lambda 0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial G} &= 2 - \lambda 0.5L^{0.5}G^{-0.5} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} &= 10.6 - L^{0.5}G^{0.5} = 0\end{aligned}$$

Solve for  $\lambda$  in the first two conditions and set these two expressions equal to one another:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= \frac{2}{0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5}} = \frac{2}{0.5L^{0.5}G^{-0.5}} \\ L &= G\end{aligned}$$

Substituting into the third constraint yields

$$\begin{aligned}10.6 - G^{0.5}G^{0.5} &= 0 \\ G^* &= 10.6\end{aligned}$$

Since  $L = G$  from above, we get

$$L^* = 10.6$$

Thus, the minimum expenditure is  $\$2(10.6) + \$2(10.6) = \$42.4$ . Note that this is the same answer as the answer to part (b)!

f. The Lagrangian is now

$$\mathcal{L}(L,G,\lambda) = L^{0.5}G^{0.5} + \lambda[30 - 2L - 2G]$$

The first-order conditions (partial derivatives of this equation with respect to  $L$ ,  $G$ , and the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$ , respectively) are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial L} &= 0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5} - \lambda(2) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial G} &= 0.5L^{0.5}G^{-0.5} - \lambda(2) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} &= 30 - 2L - 2G = 0\end{aligned}$$

Solving the first two equations for  $\lambda$  and setting them equal to each other, we find

$$\lambda = \frac{0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5}}{2} = \frac{0.5L^{0.5}G^{-0.5}}{2}$$

Rearranging, we see that

$$0.5L^{-0.5}G^{0.5} = 0.5L^{0.5}G^{-0.5}$$

$$L = G$$

We can combine this with the third of the first-order conditions that tells us the budget constraint relationship:

$$30 = 2(G) + 2G$$

$$4G = 30$$

$$G^* = 7.5$$

Since  $L = G$  from above, we get

$$L^* = 7.5$$