13. a. Carmen's indifference curves are straight, parallel lines. For her, watching a movie and seeing a basketball game are perfect substitutes. Carmen's utility function can be described as $U=X+2 Y$, where $X$ denotes the basketball games and $Y$ denotes the movies.
b. The optimal consumption bundle is to buy 5 basketball games in order to reach the highest feasible utility curve $U_{4}$, given the budget constraint.
c. We need to solve Carmen's original constrained optimization problem $\max _{X, Y} 5 X Y$ s.t. $90=18 X+10 Y$ using the Lagrangian approach. The Lagrangian corresponding to this is

$$
\max _{X, Y, \lambda} \mathcal{L}(X, Y, \lambda)=5 X Y+\lambda(90-18 X-10 Y)
$$

The first-order conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial X}=5 Y-\lambda(18)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Y}=5 X-\lambda(10)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda}=90-18 X-10 Y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a system of three equations with three unknowns $(X, Y$, and $\lambda$ ). The solution $(X, Y)$ is the allocation that we are interested in. Combining the first two equations, we see that

$$
\lambda=\frac{5 Y}{18}=\frac{5 X}{10}
$$

Therefore, $18 X=10 Y$.
Combining this with the third of the first-order conditions, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
90 & =18 X+(18 X) \\
90 & =36 X \\
X & =2.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Y=\frac{9 X}{5}$ :

$$
Y=\frac{9(2.5)}{5}=4.5
$$

Carmen should buy 2.5 units of $X$ and 4.5 units of $Y$ at these prices.
We also want to find Carmen's level of utility at this original allocation: $U(2.5,4.5)=$ $5(2.5)(4.5)=56.25$.
d. We need to solve Carmen's new constrained optimization problem using the Lagrangian approach: $\max _{X, Y} 5 X Y$ s.t. $90=20 X+10 Y$. The Lagrangian corresponding to this is

$$
\max _{X, Y, \lambda} \mathcal{L}(X, Y, \lambda)=5 X Y+\lambda(90-20 X-10 Y)
$$

The first-order conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial X}=5 Y-\lambda(20)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Y}=5 X-\lambda(10)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda}=90-20 X-10 Y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a system of three equations with three unknowns ( $X, Y$, and $\lambda$ ). The solution $(X, Y)$ is the allocation that we are interested in. Combining the first two equations, we see that

$$
\lambda=\frac{5 Y}{20}=\frac{5 X}{10}
$$

Therefore, $2 X=Y$.
Combining this with the third of the first-order conditions, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
90 & =20 X+10(2 X) \\
90 & =40 X \\
X & =2.25 \\
Y & =2(2.25)=4.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Carmen should buy 2.25 units of $X$ and 4.5 units of $Y$ at these prices. The total effect of the price change therefore is a decrease of 0.25 units of good $X$ and no change in good $Y$.
e. To decompose this total effect into income and substitution effects, we can solve the expenditure minimization problem $\min _{X, Y} 20 X+10 Y$ s.t. $56.25=5 X Y$. The Lagrangian corresponding to this is

$$
\mathcal{L}(X, Y, \lambda)=20 X+10 Y+\lambda(56.25-5 X Y)
$$

The first-order conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial X}=20-\lambda(5 Y)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Y}=10-\lambda(5 X)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda}=56.25-5 X Y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This is now a system of three equations with three unknowns that can be solved for $X, Y$, and $\lambda . X$ and $Y$ give the allocation that will allow us to separate the substitution and income effects:

$$
\lambda=\frac{10}{5 X}=\frac{20}{5 Y}
$$

Therefore, $2 X=Y$.
Combining this with the third of the first-order conditions, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
56.25 & =5 X(2 X) \\
56.25 & =10 X^{2} \\
X & \approx 2.37 \\
Y & \approx 2(2.37)=4.74
\end{aligned}
$$

The substitution effect for good $X$ therefore is the difference between 2.5 and 2.37 (representing a decrease of 0.13 units), and the income effect for good $X$ is $2.25-2.37=-0.12$ units. The total effect therefore is a decrease of 0.25 units, as in part (d). For good $Y$, we find that the substitution effect is $4.74-4.5=0.24$ units, and the income effect is $4.5-4.74=-0.24 ;$ hence, the total effect is zero.
f. Good $X$ is a normal good for Carmen. As the price here increases (and therefore as Carmen experiences a drop in purchasing power), she decreases her consumption of good $X$ (the income effect). Another way to answer is to note that the income and substitution effects for good $X$ (the good experiencing a price change) are moving in the same direction.
g. Carmen's constrained optimization problem is $\max _{X, Y} 5 X Y$ s.t. $\bar{I}-p_{X} X+\overline{p_{Y}} Y$. The Lagrangian corresponding to this is

$$
\max _{X, Y, \lambda} \mathcal{L}(X, Y, \lambda)=5 X Y+\lambda\left(\bar{I}-p_{X} X-\overline{p_{Y}} Y\right)
$$

The first-order conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial X}=5 Y-\lambda\left(p_{X}\right)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Y}=5 X-\lambda\left(\overline{p_{Y}}\right)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda}=\bar{I}-p_{X} X-\overline{p_{Y}} Y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the first two equations, we see that

$$
\lambda=\frac{5 Y}{p_{X}}=\frac{5 X}{\overline{p_{Y}}}
$$

Therefore, $\overline{p_{Y}} Y=p_{X} X$.
Combining this with the third of the first-order conditions, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{I}=p_{X} X+\overline{p_{Y}} Y \\
& \bar{I}=p_{X} X+p_{X} X
\end{aligned}
$$

Carmen's Marshallian demand curve for $X$ is $\frac{\bar{I}}{2 p_{X}}$. Since $\frac{\partial X}{\partial p_{X}}=\frac{\bar{I}}{2 p_{X}^{2}}<0$, the Law of Demand stating
that demand is decreasing in price holds.
h. Carmen's constrained optimization problem is $\min _{X, Y} p_{X} X+\bar{p}_{Y} Y$ s.t. $\bar{U}=5 X Y$. The Lagrangian corresponding to this is

$$
\min _{X, Y, \lambda} \mathcal{L}(X, Y, \lambda)=p_{X} X+\overline{p_{Y}} Y+\lambda(\bar{U}-5 X Y)
$$

The first-order conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial X}=p_{X}-\lambda(5 Y)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Y}=\overline{p_{Y}}-\lambda(5 X)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda}=\bar{U}-5 X Y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the first two equations, we see that

$$
\lambda=\frac{p_{X}}{5 Y}=\frac{\overline{p_{Y}}}{5 X}
$$

Therefore, $\overline{p_{Y}} Y=p_{X} X$ or $Y=\frac{p_{X} X}{\overline{p_{Y}}}$.
Combining this with the third of the first-order conditions, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{U} & =5 X Y \\
& =5 X\left(\frac{p_{X} X}{\overline{p_{Y}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Carmen's Hicksian demand curve $X$ then is

$$
X=\sqrt{\bar{U}\left(\frac{\overline{p_{Y}}}{5 p_{X}}\right)}
$$

